

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/937,754	HAMPP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Susan Hanley.

(3) _____.

(2) William Warren.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005

Time: 3:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 with regard to modification of the purple membrane form of bacteriorhodopsin by transglutaminase

Claims discussed:

1-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Gaffney

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed tha the prior art does not disclose nor suggest cross-linking of bacteriorhodopsin in the purple membrane from by transglutaminase. The claims will be modified by examiner's amendment such that the limitations of claim 2 will be imported into claim 1..